ESTIMATION OF MERCURY-SULFIDE SPECIATION IN SEDIMENT PORE WATERS USING OCTANOL–WATER PARTITIONING AND IMPLICATIONS FOR AVAILABILITY TO METHYLATING BACTERIA
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Abstract—The octanol–water partitioning of inorganic mercury decreased with increasing sulfide, supporting a model that predicts decreased fractions of neutral Hg–S species with increasing sulfide. These results help explain the decreased availability of Hg to methylating bacteria under sulfidic conditions, and the inverse relationship between sulfide and methylmercury observed in sediments.
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INTRODUCTION

An inverse relationship between dissolved sulfide concentration and methylmercury (MeHg) production and/or concentration has been observed in sediments from a number of aquatic ecosystems [1–6]. Sulfide inhibition of Hg methylation may result from a decrease in the availability of substrate Hg to bacterial cells. However, this inhibition is not simply caused by decreased concentration of dissolved inorganic Hg (HgD), due to precipitation of HgS(s), as is commonly speculated [2–4,7]. Filterable Hg concentrations do not decrease across sulfide gradients in natural sediments, but may increase [6,8]. Further, no correlation is found between HgD and MeHg in sediments [9]. An alternative explanation is that shifts in the complexation of HgD in pore waters may affect Hg bioavailability to bacteria. We have hypothesized that uptake of Hg by methylating bacteria is diffusive and that the observed sulfide inhibition can be explained by a decreasing fraction of neutral dissolved Hg complexes with increasing sulfide [6,9]. It has previously been shown that neutral chloride complexes of inorganic Hg are lipid soluble and that Hg uptake by phytoplankton [10,11] and Hg permeability across artificial membranes [12] both occur by passive diffusion.

The existence of a neutral Hg–monosulfide complex was proposed by Dyrssen and Wedborg [13,14], who estimated the concentration of HgS(1) that is in equilibrium with cinnabar through the reaction HgS(1) = HgS(0). The reaction constant (termed the intrinsic solubility) was extrapolated from the experimentally determined intrinsic solubilities of ZnS(0) and CdS(0) [14]. The existence of this complex and the magnitude of its formation constant remain conjectural, and several published models for cinnabar dissolution do not include HgS(1) [15–17]. Our own modeling efforts using formation constants gleaned from the literature, and including HgS(1), suggest that at near neutral pH, the concentration of HgS(1) will decrease with increasing sulfide as it is replaced by disulfide complexes, primarily by HgHS2 [6]. This trend is consistent with observed decreases in MeHg production in high-sulfide sediments if neutral species limit Hg availability to methylating bacteria.

One way to test the existence of neutral sulfide complexes is to measure partitioning from water into a hydrophobic solvent. In this investigation we report results of determinations of octanol–water partitioning (Dow ) of HgS across a sulfide gradient. Because octanol–water partitioning depends on the hydrophobicity of Hg species, changes in Dow across the gradient provide direct evidence for the existence of a neutral complex whose concentration depends on that of sulfide. Furthermore, because partitioning provides a surrogate for passive uptake [10,11], this study addresses a potential mechanism whereby sulfide may limit MeHg production and accumulation in natural sediments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Partitioning experiments were carried out in 20-ml degassed 40 mM phosphate buffer containing 1 mg/L resazurin as a redox indicator. Buffer was adjusted to pH 6 for the first experiment and pH 7 for the second experiment using HCl or NaOH. Buffer aliquots were dispensed anaerobically into prepurged glass serum bottles. All labware was rigorously acid-leached and deionized-water rinsed, and trace-metal-clean laboratory protocols were used during the experiments. Teflon®-faced septa were applied to the serum bottles, and the head space was flushed with nitrogen. Titanium nitrolitriacetic acid reductant [18] was added via syringe to a concentration of 100 μM. The standard redox potential of Ti(III) is −480 mV, and resazurin becomes colorless at an Eh of about −100 mV [19]. Buffer solutions turned from pink to clear upon addition of the titanium nitrolitriacetic acid, and only solutions that remained clear were used.

In the first experiment (pH 6), degassed Hg(II) standard in dilute HNO3 was added via syringe to each serum bottle to a final concentration of 500 pg/ml. The Hg was added after addition of sulfide. In the second experiment (pH 7), Hg(II) standard was added to the entire batch of buffer before dispensing in an effort to reduce the variability among replicates. In this experiment, sulfide was added after Hg. In both ex-
periments, solutions were shaken for 2 h before addition of octanol. Therefore, the equilibration period for Hg with sul-
fide was the same for both experiments.

Sulphide stock solutions were prepared in sealed, degassed bottles using degassed 40 mM phosphate buffer. All transfers were via syringe. Saturated Na₂S was diluted to produce a series of solutions ranging from 2 M to 0.2 M. These were added to the buffer solutions to provide a sulphide gradient of 10 mM to 1 μM. Each concentration was produced in qua-
druplicate for experiment 1 and in triplicate for experiment 2. Subsamples from two of each concentration were preserved in sulphide antioxidant buffer [20] and sulphide was measured using an Orion® (Beverly, MA, USA) silver–sulphide ion-spec-
ic electrode.

Octanol was deoxygenated by bubbling with N₂ for several hours at room temperature. After a 2-h equilibration of the Hg- and sulphide-containing buffer solutions, 10- to 20-ml al-
iquots of degassed octanol were delivered into the serum bottles using degassed 40 mM phosphate buffer. All transfers were taken from the water-only controls and the aqueous portion of the octanol–water mixtures and filtered through 0.2-
m filters. Water-only controls from the experiments had an average pH of 7.9.

Hg analysis. These subsamples were diluted, preserved with 1% HCl, and digested overnight with 0.5% BrCl before anal-
lysis for Hg₂⁺ using the cold-vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry method of Gill and Fitzgerald [21] and Bloom and Fitzgerald [22]. The Hg concentration in the octanol was calculated by difference, taking into account the volumes of the two liquid phases. Aqueous pH was measured on separate aliquots.

Equilibrium speciation calculations were carried out using the MINEQL® program (Environmental Research Software, Hallowell, ME, USA) to estimate the fraction of Hg₀ present as a given complex. Because the experiments were performed at room temperature, the MINEQL® simulations were run at 25°C. The formation constants chosen for Hg-S complexes that were used are given in the Appendix. These values represent average literature values rounded to the nearest 0.5 log units (see [6] for details). A value for the formation constant of HgS₀(aq) can be derived from the intrinsic solubility (Kᵣ = 10) of cinnabar reported by Dyrrsen and Wedborg [14] and the solubility product (Kₛₜ = 36.7) for cinnabar originally determined by Schwarzenbach and Widmer [17], to yield a rounded estimate for log Kₛₜ of 26.5 for the reaction Hg₂⁺ + HS⁻ = HgS₀(aq) + H⁺. This value of Kₛₜ provided good fit of a Hg speciation model to data from two disparate aquatic ecosystems [6]. All other equilibrium constants were from the MI-
NEQL³ database.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Partitioning coefficients (Dₑ = [Hgᵦ-octanol]/[Hgᵦ-water]) for the two experiments are given in Table 1, along with the chemical equilibrium model-estimated percent of Hg₀ present in neutral complexes. Increasing sulphide concentration decreased the hydrophobicity and partitioning of Hg into octanol. A de-
crease in octanol solubility is consistent with decreased passive uptake of Hg across hydrophobic cell membranes with increasing sulphide concentration. This decline in bioavailability provides a mechanistic explanation for the frequently observed inhibition of Hg methylation in sulphidic sediment pore waters.

Water-only controls from the experiments had an average Hg₀ concentration lower than the calculated solubility of HgS₀(aq) (i.e., <20 ng/L). These controls indicated that 96% of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulphide concentration (log M)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Dₑ</th>
<th>% Hg₀ present as HgS₀(aq)</th>
<th>% Hg₀ present as Hg(HS)₂</th>
<th>% Hg₀ present as Hg(HS)_2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−5.8 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−5.2 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−4.3 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−3.2 ± 0.01</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−2.1 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−6.0 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−5.4 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−4.2 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−3.2 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−1.8 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Determined octanol–water partitioning.

added Hg was sorbed to glassware, and that adsorption rather than precipitation of cinnabar controlled Hg₀. Adsorption was
rapid, and it was complete within the 2-h equilibration period, before addition of octanol. Therefore, the concentration in the controls at the end of the experiment was assumed to represent the steady-state pool of dissolved Hg available for partitioning into the two phases.

Figure 1 shows the calculated speciation of mercury in the experimental solutions as a function of sulphide concentration. Together, sulphide complexes account for 100% of the Hg D present as various sulphide complexes is shown versus the sulphide gradient used in the experiments.
aquatic sediments, $HgS_{(aq)}$ dominates as the most important neutral Hg complex in the presence of excess sulfide.

In order to test the hypothesis that sulfide complexation decreased the partitioning of Hg by causing a shift in the speciation away from neutral $HgS_{(aq)}$ toward charged complexes, we modeled $D_{ow}$ for the experimental solutions using the relationship $D_{ow} = \sum \alpha_i K_{ow,i}$, where $K_{ow,i}$ is the partitioning coefficient of individual chemical species and $\alpha$ is the fraction of Hg present as species $i$ [after11,12]. In experiment 1, all of the neutral dissolved Hg is present as $HgS_{(aq)}$ at the lowest sulfide concentration and as $Hg(HS)_{(aq)}$ at the highest sulfide concentration (see Table 1), so $K_{ow}$ for the two neutral complexes can be calculated using the endpoints of this experiment. Assuming that only neutral species partition significantly into octanol, at the high endpoint $D_{ow} = 25 = 0.92(K_{ow})_{HgS}$ and at the low endpoint $D_{ow} = 0.46 = 0.02(K_{ow})_{Hg(HS)}$; therefore $K_{ow} = 27$ for $HgS_{(aq)}$ and $K_{ow} = 23$ for $Hg(HS)_{(aq)}$. For simplicity, we used $K_{ow} = 25$ for both complexes when calculating the expected $D_{ow}$ for Hg across the sulfide gradients.

The model curves are compared to the experimentally determined $D_{ow}$ distributions in Figure 2. The decline in $D_{ow}$ across the sulfide gradient is consistent with the calculated decrease in the concentration of neutral sulfide species, which suggests that the observed change in partitioning across the sulfide gradient is driven by shifts in Hg–sulfide speciation. At low sulfide $HgS_{(aq)}$ dominates, but disulfide complexes become more important as sulfide concentration increases. Near neutral pH, the major disulfide complex ($Hg(HS)_2$) is charged and hydrophilic, so partitioning of $Hg$ is inhibited.

CONCLUSIONS

The results demonstrate the existence of neutral dissolved Hg complexes in sulfidic solution. A chemical equilibrium model including two neutral complexes successfully reproduced experimental $D_{ow}$ for Hg. The model indicated that $HgS_{(aq)}$ is the dominant dissolved neutral Hg complex determining lipid-solubility in sulfidic solutions at near neutral pH. The concentration of neutral dissolved Hg complexes decreases with increasing sulfide concentration, which is consistent with observed patterns of MeHg production and accumulation in aquatic ecosystems [5,6]. These results support our hypothesis that passive uptake of neutral dissolved Hg-S complexes may control the bioavailability of Hg to methylation bacteria. On the other hand, pore-water Hg complexation may depend on the presence of ligands other than sulfide, including dissolved organic carbon and polysulfides, in many natural sediments. Chemical equilibrium models of dissolved Hg complexation in pore waters may be useful in identifying ecosystems that are vulnerable to MeHg production and bioaccumulation.
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APPENDIX
Mercury–sulfide complexes and formation constants ($K_f$) used in the chemical equilibrium model for dissolved Hg speciation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Log $K_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Hg}^{2+} + \text{HS}^- = \text{HgS}^{0} + \text{H}^+$</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Hg}^{2+} + \text{HS}^- = \text{HgS}_{\text{aq}} + \text{H}^+$</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Hg}^{2+} + \text{HS}^- = \text{HgSH}^+$</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Hg}^{2+} + 2\text{HS}^- = \text{Hg(HS)}_{\text{aq}}$</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Hg}^{2+} + 2\text{HS}^- = \text{HgS}_2^{2-} + \text{H}^+$</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Hg}^{2+} + 2\text{HS}^- = \text{HgS}_2^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+$</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>